

Daniel Immerman  
Phil Mind

### Reading Questions for April 6

These questions cover Kaya's presentation and the second part of a chapter by Alex Byrne and Heather Logue called "Introduction" from a book titled *Disjunctivism: Contemporary Readings*. The answers do not have to be turned in. You will probably have to read more than once to get the answers.

#### *Background:*

We'll start with Kaya's Q and A. Next we'll continue our discussion of the question: Are cases of normal perception and hallucination/misperception radically different? In answering this question, we're spending this week looking at the article from Byrne and Logue, which summarizes key positions and arguments from various philosophers taking part in this debate. Today we're going to look at some of the key arguments for and against disjunctivism. Then next Monday we'll look at a chapter from another book that examines what psychologists can add to this debate.

#### *Questions:*

1. (\*) What questions do you have for Kaya?
2. (\*) In section 3d (pages xvii-xix), Byrne and Logue introduce an argument for disjunctivism. What is this argument? Is it plausible? Why or why not?
3. (\*) In section 4a (pages xix-xxi), Byrne and Logue introduce an argument against disjunctivism. What is the argument? Is it plausible? Why or why not?
4. (\*) In section 4b (pages xxi-xxiii), Byrne and Logue introduce a second argument against disjunctivism. What is the argument? Is it plausible? Why or why not?