

Daniel Immerman  
Phil Mind

### Reading Questions for April 11

These questions cover Jesse's and Julia's presentations and a chapter by Fiona Macpherson called "The Philosophy and Psychology of Hallucination: An Introduction" from a book titled *Hallucination: Philosophy and Psychology*. The answers do not have to be turned in. You will probably have to read more than once to get the answers.

#### *Background:*

We'll start with Jesse and Julia's Q and As. Next we'll continue our discussion of the question: Are cases of normal perception and hallucination/misperception radically different? Today we're looking at a chapter from a book on hallucination that incorporates philosophical and psychological perspectives, looking at what psychologists can add to this debate.

#### *Questions:*

1. (\*) What questions do you have for Jesse?
2. (\*) What questions do you have for Julia?
3. MacPherson uses slightly different terminology from Byrne and Logue. What Byrne and Logue called "The Cartesian view" is roughly what she calls "the common kind view" – it is the view that "any experience that could be had when perceiving (accurately or illusorily) could be had when hallucinating" (10). She introduces two new views on pages 23-4, starting with "A third view ..." One of them is the "contingent disjunctive conception" the other is the "imagery/memory" view. What are these views?
4. (\*) In the first part of section 5 (pages 25-30), MacPherson discusses whether psychology can help us decide between the views. What does she say and why? Is she right and why?